







Introduction

To evaluate the effects of changes in presynaptic ATP on synaptic short term plasticity, a mathematical model was developed to model postsynaptic EPSC amplitude changes in response to trains of presynaptic action potential waveforms at the calyx of Held and under conditions of high and low glucose concentrations.



Synapse model

The model is based on an existing model describing the interactions between multiple sources of short-term plasticity during evoked activity (Hennig et al., J. Physiol., 2008). The releasable vesicle pool was modelled as a continuous variable n(t), with n(t)=1 corresponding to all available sites containing a docked vesicle, its dynamics is defined by:

$$\frac{dn(t)}{dt} = \frac{n_{max} - n}{\tau_r} - \sum_j \delta(t - t_j) \cdot p \cdot n(t)$$

n_{max} is assumed to decrease (from a starting value of 1) during the late phase of depression (10 secs onwards) and this is modelled with a logistic equation (sigmoid), applied as a decline in available release sites due to lack of ATP during the stimulation:

$$n_{max} = A_n + (1 - A_n) / (1 + e^{-(t - t_n)/k_n})$$

The increases in release probability, due to calcium channel facilitation, accumulation of residual calcium, and the effect of calcium buffers are modelled by:

$$\frac{dp(t)}{dt} = \frac{c(t) - p(t)}{\tau_f} + \sum_j \delta(t - t_j) \cdot S_p \cdot k_f \cdot (1 - p(t))$$

The variable c(t) (initialized to p_0) accounts for the slower depressing effects, calcium channel inactivation and calcium channel suppression due to activation of G-proteins, for instance by presynaptic mGluR or AMPAR autoreceptors:

$$\frac{dc(t)}{dt} = \frac{S_p \cdot p_0 - c(t)}{\tau_i} - \sum_j \delta(t - t_j) \cdot k_i \cdot c(t)$$

EPSC variance study shows p varies sigmoidally during the low glucose experiments, so a sigmoidal decrease is applied to both facilitation and slow component of the release probability:

$$S_{p} = A_{p} + (1 - A_{p}) / (1 + e^{-(t - t_{p})/k_{p}})$$

Reference

Hennig MH1, Postlethwaite M, Forsythe ID, Graham BP. J Physiol. 2008 Jul 1;586(13):3129-46. doi: 10.1113/jphysiol.2008.152124. Epub 2008 May 1. Interactions between multiple sources of short-term plasticity during evoked and spontaneous activity at the rat calyx of Held.

Synapse parameters at the calyx of Held during high and low presynaptic glucose concentration

Christophe B Michel¹, Sarah J. Lucas², Matthias H Hennig³, Ian D. Forsythe², <u>Bruce P Graham¹</u> ¹ Computing Science and Mathematics, University of Stirling, Stirling, Scotland, FK9 4LA, UK ² Dept Neuroscience, Psychology & Behaviour, University of Leicester, Leicester LE1 9HN, UK ³ School of Informatics, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, Scotland, EH8 9AB, UK

Experimental recordings

Recordings have been made from p9-p10 CRA mice in whole cell patch clamp in high (control) and low glucose conditions. The EPSCs were recorded from MNTB neurons voltageclamped at -40 mV. For postsynaptic recordings a bipolar stimulating electrode was positioned at the midline and given high frequency stimulation (HFS; 100 Hz for 30 s) followed by recovery pulses over a further 20 s. This protocol was given 10 min after a change in the aCSF composition and then repeated every 5 mins. Stimulation voltage was twice the voltage threshold required to evoke an EPSC.

Figure 2: CV fitting in glucose low conditions. Changes in CV during 100 Hz stimulation are well fitted by sigmoid curves. Hence such curves are used to model an extra E 1.4 component changes in p during the stimulation in low glucose.

EPSC amplitude variances



Figure 1: EPSC amplitude variance and coefficient of variation (CV) study. EPSC amplitude variance is proportional to np(1p), and CV to np(1-p)/np = (1-p). Here the variance and CV are calculated in moving time windows along the final 25 s of 100 Hz stimulation. The change (slope) in Var and CV during HFS are not dependent of the time between recordings. CV is significantly different between high and low glucose experiments, so p is modified by the lack of glucose. Meanwhile variances are not significantly different, suggesting there is also a variation of n between high and low glucose experiments.

Fitting of EPSC CV



Model fit to recordings



Figure 3: Model fit to experimental recordings of stimulation+recovery, made at 10, 15, 20 and 25 minutes in control (high glucose) or impaired (low glucose) conditions. Upper panels: initial depression, from 0 to 500 ms. Lower panels: complete stimulation protocol with recovery. Controls are shown in grey and responses with low glucose are shown in black. The experimental data are the average of four cells in control conditions and six cells in low glucose conditions. Note increased depression and impaired recovery in low glucose conditions.









indicates the p recovers between 5 min epochs, but EPSC amplitude does not fully recover due to a decline in the number of functional release sites.