

Simulation and Modelling of Large-scale Structured P2P Overlays

Mario Kolberg & Jamie Furness

University of Stirling





- Peer-to-Peer (P2P)
 - Overlay build on top of the IP network
 - Nodes in the overlay are connected by virtual or logical links corresponding to a path (possibly through many physical links) in the underlying network.
 - Concentrated on one-hop structured P2P overlays
 - use a DHT for data indexing and discovery
 - (near) single hop from source node to destination node
 - Full routing table, maintenance traffic
 - EpiChord, D1HT, OneHop

• DHTs are the indexing mechanism for P2P systems

- DHT Node IDs and Data Keys
- O(1)-hop overlays have better latency characteristic than multi-hop overlays, but require more maintenance traffic
- How to obtain best performance in a large-scale wide area context for DHT operations is an important question.







- Issues:
- Algorithms are hard to validate
 - Complex algorithms
 - Large networks (up to millions of nodes)
 - Simulations are resource hungry
 - Very dynamic behaviour (nodes joining and leaving)
 - Large amount of state (routing table) for each node
 - The state of a particular node at a certain point in time is very hard to ascertain
 - Looked at two problems:
 - Multicast efficiency gains in overlays
 - Efficient broadcast algorithms for wildcard searches
 - There are a number of "simple" models of P2P but often they neglect the issue of churn





- How to make P2P Overlays more efficient? \rightarrow Multicast
- Why multicast?
 - Chuang-Sirbu multicast scaling law states message savings are related to group size: 1 m $^{-\epsilon}$, $-0.34 < \epsilon < -.2$
 - 5-way: 28% to 42%, 10-way: 37% to 54%
 - Host group multicast vs. multidestination multicast
 - Overhead, goup size, group numbers, life time of a group







Multi-Destination Routing



XCAST = Experimental Multi-Destination Routing Protocol





Experimentation

- To determine whether multi-destination routing is applicable to Overlay systems, we used simulation and modelling:
 - EpiChord (simulation).
 - Markov Model(s)
- Simulations were carried out using a 10,450 node network in the SSFNet simulation environment. Overlay sizes varied from 1k to 9k nodes.
- DHT lookups and routing table maintenance use parallel unicast requests
- Failed responses are used iteratively to update routing table and narrow the search
- Opportunistic maintenance of routing table



Sender pending queue







Analytical Model of XCAST enabled EpiChord

- Chuang Sirbu predict saving of 1 m $-\epsilon$, with $\epsilon = -0.2$
- Does not take into account EpiChord retransmissions and timeouts
- A model will allow for more flexible and scalable analysis of the expected savings than simulation.
- Comparing results of the model with simulation
- The size of the pending queue changes depending on the type of response received
- Know Probabilities of receiving a certain response from simulations
- Hence pending queue size can be calculated, and so the average # of 2-way and 1-way retransmissions
- Pending queue has been modelled as a DTMC, transition matrix



P or P+1 nodes send responses







Assumptions

- Assumption 1:
 - probabilities do not change over time
 - The time the queue is in a certain state is ignored
- Assumption 2:
 - A transition occurs after one and only one response is received
 - Considers only a single node
- Assumption 3:
 - It is equally likely for a node to time out once, twice or three times
 - Probabilities of timing out is independent of the state





Results

Р	Neg Resp.	Timeouts	Xcast	Xcast	unicast	unicast
	per lookup	per lookup	(model)	(simul)	(model)	(simul)
- 3	1.44	1.3	0.77	0.75	0.87	0.9
4	1.98	1.54	1.06	1	1.02	1.05
5	2.54	1.77	1.35	1.22	1.18	1.2

P	Neg Resp.	Timeouts	Xcast	Xcast	unicast	unicast
	per lookup	per lookup	(model)	(simul)	(model)	(simul)
3	6.1	3.16	3.19	3.05	2.2	2.22
4	7.27	3.67	3.81	3.45	2.52	2.6
5	8.49	4.23	4.49	3.92	2.88	3.03

• Use Pepa to model the system to get closer results...



PEPA

- Two models
- Communicating model
 - Pending queue process
 - Processes for each process in the pending queue
- "Simple model" based on the states of the DTMC
- Expected results to be closer to simulation values
- Results show too many retransmissions (actually quite a bit worse than DTMC)



Complex Search Techniques

- Structured P2P networks don't tend to support all types of complex queries.
- Unstructured networks do, and hence are more popular. However, they are inefficient.
- Using efficient broadcasting it is possible to support all types of complex queries over structured P2P.
- We investigate the effects of churn on broadcast search over Chord and Pastry.





- Complex queries
- Exact-match: nine inch nails the slip (2008) letting you [v0].mp3
- Keyword: nine inch nails, nin, the slip
- Range bit-rate: 256-320
- Wild-card: nine inch nails *
- Semantic: 9 inch nails
- Regex: ^nine inch nails .*\.(mp3|flac|alac)\$





- Unstructured overlays
 - No structure, links established arbitrarily.
 - Flooding or random-walks used to retrieve data.
 - Easy to implement.
 - Inefficient, low success rate.
- Structured overlays
 - Nodes are assigned a key, often based on their IP address
 - Data is assigned a key, often based on its file-name.
 - Distributed Hash Table (DHT) interface can store data or retrieve data given its corresponding key.
 - Examples: Chord, Pastry...





- Structured networks make use of consistent hashing.
 - Both types of keys are generated using the same hash function, usually SHA-1.
 - Reduces arbitrary length keys to a fixed identifier space.
 - Balances load, relieving hot-spots.
- Example
 - track \rightarrow 42aef171c1c0accaeee38c605d98ab5db51a13f5
 - track1 \rightarrow ea6b175de80bd33899cdf4a0530059aabffb8f66
 - track2 \rightarrow 08979fbae1fe1e5b06b3646138be36b27d583f34
- Not locality aware, patterns in keys are lost after hashing.



- Broadcasting supports all types of complex queries.
- Performed by forwarding the query to a few nodes, assigning each of them an area to cover.
- Queries are processed at each node.
- Many more messages than regular searches in structured networks... but many less than flooding in unstructured networks.





- Our aim was to compare the performance of broadcasting a search query over different overlays while the network is under churn, focusing on some specific areas:
 - Success rate
 - Bandwidth requirements
 - Data replication
- Simulations developed using OverSim.
- Network sizes of 1,000 and 10,000 nodes.
- Average node lifetime from 100 secs to 10,000 seconds.
- Replication rate from 1 to 32.





- Neighbour replication
 - Replicates data at neighbouring nodes.
 - Maintenance is cheap.
 - Commonly used.
 - Bad for broadcasting.

- Multi publication replication
 - Replicates data evenly around the network.
 - Maintenance is more expensive.
 - Good for broadcasting.







- Experimentation concentrated on bandwidth consumption and comparing replication strategies
 - Various overlays
 - Various levels of churn
 - Both replication strategies
 - What level of replication





Lifetime mean (seconds)



- Conclusions/Questions
- Simulations can help checking algorithms with P2P overlays
- Simulations are complex and limited: large amount of state, up to 10,000 nodes
- What kind of modelling approaches can help to verify the behaviour of algorithms?
- Can the problems be categorised and the appropriate modelling approaches are chosen?
- Can modelling approaches cope with the complexity, and help exploring larger networks?